



# Analysis of planes with fuselages

Rev. 1.0 - © A. Deperrois - November 2019

#### Fuselage influence







#### **Cautionary note**

- The inclusion of the fuselages in the analyses is not a click-run process.
- It requires careful construction of the geometries and of the surface mesh.
- A critical analysis of the results should always be performed.



#### Content

- Part I: Preliminary considerations
- Part II: Quad face type fuselage
- Part III: NURBS type fuselage
- Part IV: STL type fuselage
- Part V: STEP type fuselage



## Geometry and surfaces



#### **Close the volumes**

• All panel methods require that the union of mesh elements define one or multiple closed, non-intersecting volumes.





#### Close the volumes

- The OpenCascade API requires that the wings must form closed SOLIDs to cut the fuselage shell → close the wing T.E.
- In the case of half-wings, such as the fin, check carefully if the inner section needs to be closed or not





# Triangle mesh

#### The mesher

- The triangle mesh is built by a custom advancing front type mesher
- It expects the geometry to be defined as a union of faces, defined by closed contours, and without free edges
- It will perform well in the vast majority of cases
- It may fail or diverge in the case of small edges
  - Simplify and clean the geometry before importing it into flow5
  - ➡ Watch out for small edges which may be created at the intersection of fuselage edges and wing panels





#### Triangle connections

- To avoid numerical issues, triangle elements should be connected at their nodes
- Not strictly necessary, but will improve the quality of the results
- Done automatically for quad meshes
- Done automatically for wing and fuselage triangular meshes
- Manual correction may be required wheif an edge of the fuselage geometry cuts the wing root section





#### Checking triangle connections

- Connect the triangles
  - Can be done manually using the menu option
  - Done automatically when running the analysis
- Display the free edges; the only free edges should be:
  - the wing trailing edges; the upper surface is not connected to the lower surface to create a vortex and to apply the Kutta condition
  - The side surfaces of the wings



X

#### Wake panels

- The wake panels which extend downstream from the wings trailing edges should not intersect the fuselage nor other wings
- Select a polar and use the context menu item "Mesh/ Show wake panels"



#### Interactions between wake and fuselage panels

- The numerical interactions between wake and fuselage panels lead to unrealistic pressure coefficients which mess up the calculation of moments
- Recommendation: disable the inclusion of the fuselage's contribution in the evaluation of moments.

<ul> <li>Linear Density Triangular Panels</li> </ul>	
Wings as	
○ Thin surfaces	
Fuselage moments	
☐ Include the contribution of fuselage inviscid moments	



# Doublet densities and pressure coefficients



Gammax1000.0 43.56

#### **Doublet densities**

A panel analysis solves the linear system for the doublet densities on the panels. All other results are deduced from the doublet densities.

37.20 30.83 24.47 A smooth distribution of the 18.11 doublet densities is a good indicator of the quality of the 1.74 analysis and of its results • If the color scale indicates that -7.35 there are local numerical issues, -13.71 then the triangle mesh should be -20.08 improved -26.44 -32.80



#### **Doublet densities**





#### Pressure coefficients - Cp

- The pressure coefficients (Cp) are calculated from the surface gradient of the doublet densities.
- This requires that the elements be connected at their nodes
- The calculation is tricky when adjacent panels are not in the same plane such as at the junction of wings and fuselages
- It only impacts the moments which are calculated from pressure forces acting on the panels.
- It does not impact the lift and drag calculated in the far-field plane
- Potential to improve the precision of the Cp coefficients in the linear case

 $\rightarrow$  to be evaluated in the  $\beta\text{-phase}$ 



![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Design options**

#### Wings as <u>thin</u> surfaces

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Rules
  - Wings must not extend inside the volume defined by the fuselage
- flow5 enforces this rule
- Notes
  - Numerical issues may occur where the wing joins the fuselage
- Recommendation
  - Not the preferred method

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

- The analysis must use the quad or triangle panel methods not the VLM
- The connection of fuselage and wing mesh elements is only implemented for the triangle panel methods
- Points to watch
  - Quality of the triangle elements at the junction of wing and fuselage
  - Connections of wing and fuselage triangles

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Fuselage drag

- Fuselages do not shed wakes, and therefore do not create induced drag or lift.
- Fuselages generate friction drag; two friction drag models are implemented in flow5 :
  - The Karman-Schoenherr model
  - The Prandlt-Schlichting model
  - Additional drag models may be implemented in the future.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Recommendations

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Recommendations

- The current robust, recommended method is to use the thick triangular panel method, with linear doublet densities.
- Simplify and clean the fuselage geometry before importing it into flow5; more specifically, be sure to remove all small edges.
- To reduce the mesh size, define the fuselage with flat faces.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)